

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/451,108	11/30/1999	WANG RAE KIM	K-119	8600
7.	590 07/29/2003			
Fleshner & Kim, LLP			EXAMINER	
14500 Avion Parkway Suite125			KUMAR, PANKAJ	
Chantilly, VA	20151		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2631	79
			DATE MAILED: 07/29/2003	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/451,108	KIM, WANG RAE			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Pankaj Kumar	2631			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - Exterester after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replip operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/2/2003.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Th	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
		and the second contract				
-	Claim(s) <u>1-9,11-15,17-20 and 22</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) <u>15 and 19</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	_					
′=	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
	ion Papers	·				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
_	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
* 9	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachmen						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/451,108 Page 2

Art Unit: 2631

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9, 11-14, 20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

2. Also, as per the examiner's amendment discussed on the telephone with the applicant, the examiner's amendment is being withdrawn since the application is no longer in condition for allowance based on the new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. Claims 17, 18 and 22 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 17 recites the limitation "claim 16" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim since claim 16 is cancelled. It should recite claim 15.
- 5. Claim 18 recites the limitation "claim 16" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim since claim 16 is cancelled. It should recite claim 15.
- 6. Claim 22 recites the limitation "claim 21" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim since claim 21 is cancelled. It should recite claim 13.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/451,108

Art Unit: 2631

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-9, 11-14, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Santos et al. 5119399.
- 9. As per claim 1, Santos teaches a vector modulator, comprising: a first amplitude invariant (inherent for a good phase shifter to be amplitude invariant) phase shifter to shift a phase of an input signal (Santos fig. 1: the input of element 15 is a local oscillating signal which has a phase which element 15 shifts to 0 degrees and 90 degrees); a coupler to separate an output of the first amplitude invariant phase shifter into first and second channel signals (Santos fig. 1: 15 separates into I and Q components. What Santos does not show is that the coupler is a separate component from the first amplitude invariant phase shifter. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Santos to divide element 15 of into the two components since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention requires routine skill in the art. Also, lacking any criticality, to shift location of prior art parts does not make the claimed invention patentable over that prior art (In re Japikse, 86 USPQ 70). Also, lacking any criticality, to make prior art parts separable does not make the claimed invention patentable over that prior art (Nerwin v. Erlichman, 168 USPQ 177).); a second amplitude invariant phase shifter (Santos fig. 1: 17) to shift a phase (inherent for a phase shifter to do so) of the first channel signal; a third amplitude invariant phase shifter (Santos fig. 1: 21) to shift a phase of the second channel signal; and a combiner (Santos fig. 1: 25) that receives and combines signals from the second and third invariant phase shifters (Santos fig. 1: 25 receives signals from 17 and 21 via 19 and 23) and provides an output, wherein the first, second and third amplitude invariant phase shifters

phase phi2 col. 4 lines 49-52, last full paragraph col. 4).

Art Unit: 2631

respectively shift within first, second and third prescribed shifting ranges (Santos fig. 1: 15 shifts between 0 and 90, 17 shifts to adjustable phase phi1 – col. 4 lines 43-44, 21 shifts to adjustable

- 10. As per claim 2, Santos teaches the vector modulator of claim 1, wherein the coupler is quadrature hybrid (Santos fig. 1: 15 outputs inphase and quadrature and its input is a hybrid of the two) coupler selected from one of a branch line (Santos fig. 1: output of 15 divides into two branches), a Lange coupler, and a Wilkinson divider.
- 11. As per claim 3, Santos teaches the vector modulator of claim 1, wherein the first amplitude invariant phase shifter delays the input signal by fixed intervals (Santos fig. 1: inherent for 15 to cause a fixed delay) within a first prescribed shifting range of approximately 0° --360° (not in Santos. Instead Santos teaches shifting range of 0 to 90 degrees. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Santos since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.).
- As per claim 4, Santos teaches the vector modulator of claim 1, wherein the second amplitude invariant phase shifter delays the first channel signal by a prescribed phase within a variable phase range of approximately 0° --90° (not in Santos. Instead Santos teaches in col. 4 last full paragraph teaches that phil is arbitrarily adjustable. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Santos with a range for phil to be from 0 to 90 degrees since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in

Art Unit: 2631

the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.).

- 13. As per claim 5, Santos teaches the vector modulator of claim 1, wherein the third amplitude invariant phase shifter delays the second channel signal by a prescribed phase within a variable phase range of approximately 0° --- 90° (not in Santos. Instead Santos teaches in col. 4 last full paragraph teaches that phi2 is arbitrarily adjustable. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Santos with a range for phi 2 to be from 0 to 90 degrees since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.).
- 14. As per claim 6, Santos teaches the vector modulator of claim 1, wherein each of the first, second and third amplitude invariant phase shifters is a reflection type amplitude invariant phase shifter (Santos fig. 1: the outputs of the components are a reflection of their inputs).
- As per claim 7, Santos teaches the vector modulator of claim 6, wherein each of the first, second and third amplitude invariant phase shifters includes at least one PIN diode (not in Santos. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Santos to teach these elements since it has been held that the selection of known material based on its suitability for the intended use for prior art parts does not make the claimed invention patentable over that prior art (In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416).) and a hybrid coupler (Santos fig. 1: 15, 17, 21 couple to the hybrid signal output of 13 via other components).
- 16. As per claim 8, Santos teaches the vector modulator of claim 6, wherein each of the first, second and third amplitude invariant phase shifters includes at least one varactor diode (not in

Art Unit: 2631

Santos. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Santos to teach these elements since it has been held that the selection of known material based on its suitability for the intended use for prior art parts does not make the claimed invention patentable over that prior art (In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416).) and a hybrid coupler (Santos fig. 1: 15, 17, 21 couple to the hybrid signal output of 13 via other components).

- As per claim 9, Santos teaches the vector modulator of claim 6, wherein each of the first, second and third amplitude invariant phase shifters includes at least one PIN diode and a circulator (not in Santos. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Santos to teach these elements since it has been held that the selection of known material based on its suitability for the intended use for prior art parts does not make the claimed invention patentable over that prior art (In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416).).
- 18. As per claim 12, Santos teaches the vector modulator of claim 1, wherein the first channel signal is an I channel signal and the second channel signal is a Q channel signal that is phase shifted approximately 90° from the I channel signal (Santos fig. 1: 15, 17, phi1, 21, phi2).
- 19. Claims 13, 14 are discussed in claims 1-9 and 12 above.
- 20. As per claim 20, Santos teaches the vector modulator of claim 1, wherein the first amplitude invariant phase shifter adjusts a distribution of signals outputted from a combiner in a polar coordinate system (Santos fig. 1: 0 and 90 degrees are in a polar coordinate system) by adjusting phases of incoming signals (Santos fig. 1: 15 is adjusting the phase to 0 and 90 degrees).
- 21. As per claim 22, Santos teaches the vector modulator of claim 21, wherein the combiner calculates a vector sum (Santos fig. 1: 25) wherein the first amplitude invariant phase shifter

Art Unit: 2631

delays the input signal by fixed intervals (Santos fig. 1: inherent for 15 to cause a fixed delay) within the first prescribed shifting range (Santos teaches shifting range of 0 to 90 degrees.) wherein the second and third amplitude invariant phase shifter (Santos fig. 1: 17, 21) delay the first and second channel signals (Santos: inherent for components 17 and 21 to cause a delay in I and Q channels) by first and second phases (Santos col. 4 last full paragraph: phi1, ph2) within the second and third prescribed shifting ranges (Santos teaches in col. 4 last full paragraph teaches that phi1 and phi2 are arbitrarily adjustable.)

Allowable Subject Matter

- 22. Claims 17 and 18 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 23. Claims 15, 19 are allowed.
- 24. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:
- As per claim 15, Belcher teaches a circuit for a high power amplifier (Belcher Field of Invention: "... adaptive RF power amplifier ... "), comprising: a divider (Belcher fig. 2: 101) to divide an input signal into a first signal (Belcher fig. 2: 105) and a second signal (Belcher fig. 2: 107); a vector modulator (Belcher fig. 2: 110) to receive the first signal (Belcher fig. 2: 111 is a modified version of 105) and a control signal (Belcher fig. 2: 113 is a modified version of 107) and output a vector modulated signal (Belcher fig. 2: output of 110); an amplifier to amplify the vector modulated signal (Belcher fig. 2: 116); a directional coupler (Belcher fig. 2: 123) to receive a signal from the amplifier and generate a reference signal; and a fast phase-amplitude

Art Unit: 2631

controller (Belcher fig. 2: 180) to compare amplitudes and phases of the reference signal (Belcher fig. 2: input 181) and the second signal (Belcher fig. 2: 107) delayed for a prescribed time period (inherent for various components to cause delay), to provide the control signal, wherein the vector modulator comprises: a first amplitude invariant phase shifter to shift a phase of the first signal within a first prescribed shifting range; a coupler to separate an output of the first amplitude invariant phase shifter into I and Q channel signals having approximately a 90° phase difference relative to each other; a second amplitude invariant phase shifter to shift a phase of the first channel signal by a first fixed amplitude within a second prescribed shifting range; a third amplitude invariant phase shifter to shift a phase of the second channel signal by a second fixed amplitude within a *third* (not in Kumar or Belcher) prescribed shifting range; and a combiner to receive signals from the second and third invariant phase shifters and calculate a vector sum thereof and generate the vector modulated signal.

26. Claims 17, 18 and 19 depend on claim 15.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Art Unit: 2631

Page 9

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pankaj Kumar whose telephone number is (703) 305-0194. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon, Tues, Thurs and Fri after 8AM to after 6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi H. Pham can be reached on (703) 305-4378. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

PK July 24, 2003

TEMESCHEN CHEBRETINSAE PRIMARY EXAMINER